

**DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**RESOLUTION NO. 28-20**

**ADOPTING BEST VALUE PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES PURSUANT TO  
EDUCATION CODE SECTION 17406**

**WHEREAS**, the Davis Joint Unified School District (the “District”) desires to undertake lease-leaseback projects (“LLB Projects”) In accordance with applicable law; and

**WHEREAS**, under Education Code section 17406, the District must award LLB Projects based on a competitive solicitation process to the proposer providing the best value to the District, taking into consideration the proposer’s demonstrated competence and professional qualifications necessary for the satisfactory performance of the services required; and

**WHEREAS**, before awarding a Facilities Lease and Site Lease for the LLB Project, the District’s Governing Board must adopt and publish required procedures and guidelines (“Best Value Procedures”) for evaluating the qualifications of proposers that ensure the best value selections by the District are conducted in a fair and impartial manner; and

**WHEREAS**, the District’s Best Value Procedure shall be mandatory when awarding LLB Projects; and

**WHEREAS**, District staff has developed Best Value Procedures to evaluate the qualifications of proposers, which are attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A**; and

**WHEREAS**, the Best Value Procedures incorporate the required procedure of Education Code Section 17406; and

**WHEREAS**, the District desires to adopt and publish the Best Value Procedures to evaluate the qualifications of proposers for its LLB Projects; and

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the Board of the District hereby finds, determines, declares and resolves as follows:

- I. That the above recitals are true and correct.
- II. That is in the best interest of the school district to authorize lease-leaseback project procurement for the construction of District projects pursuant to Education Code Section 10406.
- III. That the Best Value Procedures are adopted and published pursuant to Education Code Section 17406.

IV. That the District's Superintendent, or designee, is authorized to implement the Best Value Procedures when evaluating the qualifications of proposers when awarding contracts for its LLB Projects and to take any action which is necessary to carry out, give effect to, and comply with the terms and intent of this Resolution.

**APPROVED, PASSED AND ADOPTED** by the Governing Board of the Davis Joint Unified School District, Yolo County, State of California, this 19th day of September 2019 by the following vote:

AYES: \_\_\_\_\_  
NOES: \_\_\_\_\_  
ABSENT: \_\_\_\_\_  
ABSTAIN: \_\_\_\_\_

I, \_\_\_\_\_, President of Governing Board of the Davis Joint Unified School District, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of the Resolution passed and adopted by said Board at a regularly scheduled and conducted meeting held on said date, which Resolution is on file in the office of said Board.

## **EXHIBIT A**

### **BEST VALUE PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR DISTRICT'S LEASE-LEASEBACK PROJECTS PURSUANT TO EDUCATION CODE SECTION 17406**

#### **1. Procedure for Soliciting Proposals**

- a. The District shall prepare a request for sealed proposals ("RFP") from qualified proposers. The District may also utilize a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") in addition to an RFP, or a combined Request for Qualifications and Proposals ("RFQ/P"). Best Value procedures relating to RFPs shall also apply to any RFQ/P.
- b. The District shall include in the RFP:
  - i. an estimate of the price of the Project;
  - ii. a clear, precise description of any preconstruction services that may be required, and the facilities to be constructed;
  - iii. the key elements of the instrument to be awarded;
  - iv. a description of the format that proposals must follow, including the elements they must contain;
  - v. the standards the District will use in evaluating proposals;
  - vi. the date upon which proposals are due; and
  - vii. the timetable the District will follow in reviewing and evaluating proposals.
- b. The District shall give notice of the RFP by publishing the notice:
  - i. in accordance with the requirements of Public Contract Code section 20112, by publishing notice in a newspaper of general circulation published in the District, or if there is no such paper, then in some newspaper of general circulation circulated in the county; and
  - ii. in a trade paper of general circulation at least once a week for two weeks.
- c. In order to submit a proposal, a proposer shall be prequalified in accordance with subdivisions (b) to (m), inclusive, of Public Contract Code section 20111.6, including the requirement for completion and submission of a standardized prequalification questionnaire and financial statement that is verified under oath and is not a public record.
- d. If electrical, mechanical, and plumbing subcontractors are used, they shall be subject to the same prequalification requirements for prospective bidders described in subdivisions (b) to (m), inclusive, of Public Contract Code section 20111.6, including the requirement for completion and submission of a standardized prequalification questionnaire and financial statement that is verified under oath and is not a public record.

## **2. Contents of Requests for Proposals**

- a. The RFP shall identify all criteria that the District will consider in evaluating the proposals and qualifications of the proposers, by considering, relevant experience, safety record, price proposal, and other factors specified in the RFP.
- b. The price proposal shall include, at the District's discretion, either a lump-sum price for the Project or the proposer's proposed fee to perform the services requested, including the proposer's proposed fee to perform preconstruction services or any other work related to the facilities to be constructed, as requested by the District.
- c. The RFP shall specify whether each criterion will be evaluated pass-fail, or will be scored as a best value score, and whether proposers must achieve any minimum qualification score for award of the contract.
- d. For each scored criterion, the school district shall identify the methodology and rating or weighting system that will be used by the school district in evaluating the criterion, including the weight assigned to the criterion and any minimum acceptable score.

## **3. Evaluation and Award**

Proposals shall be evaluated and the Project awarded in the following manner:

- a. All proposals received shall be reviewed to determine those that meet the format requirements and the standards specified in RFP.
- b. District shall evaluate the qualifications of the proposers based solely upon the criteria and evaluation methodology set forth in the RFP, and shall assign a best value score to each proposal. Once the evaluation is complete, all responsive proposals shall be ranked from the highest best value to the lowest best value to the District.
- c. The District's Board of Education shall award the Project to the responsive proposer whose proposal is determined, in writing by the Board of Education, to be the best value to the District.
- d. If the selected proposer refuses or fails to execute the tendered proposed contract, the Board of Education may award the contract to the proposer with the second highest best value score if it deems it to be for the best interest of the District. If the second selected proposer refuses or fails to execute the tendered instrument, the Board of Education may award the instrument to the proposer with the third highest best value score if it deems it to be for the best interest of the District.
- e. Notwithstanding any other law, upon issuance of a contract award, the District shall publicly announce its award, identifying the entity to which the award is made, along with a statement regarding the basis of the award. The statement regarding the District's contract award and the contract file shall provide sufficient information to satisfy an external audit.

**4. Discretion to Reject Proposals**

The District's Board of Education, at its sole discretion, may reject all proposals and request new proposals.

[END OF EXHIBIT]